

String Representation of Quantum Loops

Stefano Ansoldi *

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica

Università di Trieste,

INFN, Sezione di Trieste

Carlos Castro[†]

Center for Theoretical Studies of Physical Systems,

Clark Atlanta University,

Atlanta, Georgia 30314 USA

Euro Spallucci[‡]

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica

Università di Trieste,

INFN, Sezione di Trieste

Abstract

We recover a general representation for the quantum state of a relativistic closed line (*loop*) in terms of string degrees of freedom. The general form of the loop functional splits into the product of the *Eguchi functional*, encoding the *holographic quantum dynamics*, times the Polyakov path integral, taking into account the full *Bulk dynamics*, times a *loop effective action*, which is

*E-mail address: ansoldi@trieste.infn.it

[†]E-mail address: castro@hubble.cau.edu

[‡]E-mail address: spallucci@trieste.infn.it

needed to renormalize boundary ultraviolet divergences. The Polyakov string action is derived as an *effective action* from a phase space, covariant, Schild action, by functionally integrating out the world-sheet coordinates. The *area coordinates* description of the boundary quantum dynamics, is shown to be induced by the “zero mode” of the bulk quantum fluctuations. Finally, we briefly comment about a “*unified, fully covariant*” description of points, loops and strings in terms of *Matrix Coordinates*.

Non perturbative effects in quantum field theory are usually difficult to study because of the missing of appropriate mathematical tools. In the few cases where some special invariance, like electric/magnetic duality or super-symmetry, allows to open a window over the strong coupling regime of the theory, one usually is faced with a new kind of solitonic excitations describing extended field configurations, which are “dual” to the point-like states of the perturbative regime. Remarkable examples of extended structures of this sort ranges from the Dirac magnetic monopoles [1] up to the loop states of quantum gravity [2].

The dynamics of these extended objects is usually formulated in terms of an “effective theory” of relativistic strings, i.e. one switches from a quantum field theoretical framework to a different description by taking for granted that there is some relation between the two. Such a kind of relation has recently shown up in the context of super string theory as a web of dualities among different phases of different super string models. On the other hand, there is still no explicit way to connect point like and stringy phases of non-supersymmetric field theories like QCD and quantum gravity.

The main purpose of this letter is to recover a general *String Representation*, of a loop wave functional in terms of the *Bulk* and *Boundary* wave functional of a quantum string. The loop wave functional can describe the quantum state of a one dimensional, closed, excitation of some quantum field theory, while the corresponding string functional is induced by the quantum fluctuations of an open world-sheet. We shall find a quite general relation linking these two different objects. The matching between a quantum loop and a quantum string being provided by a (functional) Fourier transform over an abelian vector field.

As a byproduct of this new *Loops/Strings connection*, we shall clarify the interplay between the bulk quantum dynamics, encoded into the Polyakov path integral, [3], and the induced boundary dynamics. In this regard, Eguchi string quantum mechanics [4] will be shown to provide a sort of *holographic* description of the boundary quantum fluctuations.

The quantum state of a *closed, bosonic string* is described by a (complex) functional $\Psi[\overline{y}]$ defined over the space of all possible configurations, i.e. *shape*, of the boundary $\overline{y}$ of an open

world-sheet Y . Accordingly, $\Psi[\bar{y}]$ can be written as a phase space path integral of the form

$$\Psi[\bar{y}] = \int_{\partial Y = \bar{y}} [DY^\mu][DP_{m\mu}][Dg_{ab}] \exp \left(i \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} L(Y, P, g_{ab}; \sigma) \right) \quad (1)$$

where, $Y^\mu(\sigma)$ are the string coordinates mapping the string manifold Σ in a world surface in target space; $\sigma^m = \{\sigma^0, \sigma^1\}$ are internal coordinates, and $g_{mn}(\sigma)$ is the metric over the string manifold. We assume that Σ is simply connected and bounded by a curve $\partial\Sigma$ parametrically represented by $\sigma^m = \sigma^m(s)$. Then, $Y^\mu(\sigma)$ maps $\partial\Sigma$ in a contour $\partial Y \equiv \bar{y}$ in target space

$$Y^\mu(\sigma^0(s), \sigma^1(s)) = \bar{y}^\mu(s). \quad (2)$$

$P_{m\mu}(\sigma)$ is the canonical momentum

$$P^m{}_\mu(\sigma) \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_m Y^\mu}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, we sum in the path integral (1) over *all the the string world sheets having the closed curve $x^\mu = \bar{y}^\mu(s)$ as the only boundary*.

A closed line and an open two-surface can be given different geometrical characterizations. For our purposes, the best way to introduce them is through the respective associated currents. Let us define the *Bulk Current* $J^{\mu\nu}(x; Y)$, as a rank two antisymmetric tensor distribution having non vanishing support over a two dimensional world surface, and the *Loop Current* $J^\mu(x; C)$ as the vector distribution with support over the closed line $x^\mu = x^\mu(s)$:

$$J^{\mu\nu}(x; Y) \equiv \int_Y dY^\mu \wedge dY^\nu \delta^D[x - Y] \quad (4)$$

$$J^\mu(x; C) \equiv \oint_C dx^\mu \delta^D[x - x(s)], \quad (5)$$

$$J^\mu(x; \bar{y}) \equiv \partial_\lambda J^{\lambda\mu}(x; Y) \quad (6)$$

The divergence of the bulk current define the *Boundary current* (6). A simple way to link a closed line to a surface is by “appending” the surface to the assigned loop [5]. This matching condition can be formally written by identifying the loop current with the boundary current :

$$J^\mu(x ; C) = J^\mu(x ; \overline{y}) = \partial_\nu J^{\nu\mu}(x ; Y) . \quad (7)$$

It is worth to remark that the equation (7) defines $J^\mu(x ; C)$ as the current associated to the boundary of the surface Y . In the absence of (7) $J^\mu(x ; C)$ is a loop current with no reference to any surface. In other words, equation (7) is a formal description of the “*gluing operation*” between the surface Y and the closed line C .

Accordingly, one would relate loops states to string states through a functional relation of the type

$$\Psi[C] = \int [d\overline{y}] \delta[C - \overline{y}] \Psi[\overline{y}] \quad (8)$$

where, we introduced a *Loop Dirac delta* function which picks up the assigned loop configuration C among the (infinite) family of all the allowed string boundary configurations $\{\overline{y}^\mu(s)\}$. Such a loop delta function requires a more appropriate definition. The “current representation” of extended objects provided by (4), (5), (6) offers a suitable “Fourier” form of $\delta[x - \overline{y}]$ as a functional integral over a vector field $A_\mu(x)$:

$$\delta[J^\mu(x ; C) - J^\mu(x ; \overline{y})] = \int [DA_\mu(x)] \exp \left\{ -i \int d^D x A_\mu(x) [J^\mu(x ; C) - J^\mu(x ; \overline{y})] \right\} , \quad (9)$$

and the loop functional (8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[C] = & \int [d\overline{y}^\nu] [DY^\mu] [DP_{m\mu}] [Dg_{ab}] [DA_\mu] \times \\ & \exp \left(-i \int d^D x A_\mu(x) [J^\mu(x ; C) - J^\mu(x ; \overline{y})] + i \int_\Sigma d^2 \sigma \sqrt{g} L(Y^\mu, P^m_\mu, g_{ab}; \sigma) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

These seemingly harmless manipulations are definitely non trivial. A proper implementation of the boundary conditions introduces an *abelian vector field* coupled both to the loop and the boundary currents. The first integral in (9) is the *circulation* of A along the loop C while the second represents the circulation along $\overline{y}$:

$$\int d^D x A_\mu(x) J^\mu(x ; C) = \oint_C dx^\mu A_\mu(x) , \quad (11)$$

$$\int d^D x A_\mu(x) J^\mu(x ; \overline{y}) = \oint_\gamma d\overline{y}^\mu A_\mu(\overline{y}) \quad (12)$$

After introducing the Wilson factor as

$$W[A_\mu, \gamma] \equiv \exp \left[(-i) \oint_\gamma dz^\mu A_\mu(z) \right] \quad (13)$$

the loop delta functional can be written as

$$\delta[C - \gamma] = \int D[A_\mu] W^{-1}[A_\mu, C] W[A_\mu, \gamma] \quad (14)$$

and we can define the *dual string functional* through a *Loop Transform* [6] :

$$\Phi[A_\mu(x)] \equiv \int [D\bar{y}^\nu] W[A_\mu, \gamma] \Psi[\gamma] . \quad (15)$$

Hence, the vector field $A_\mu(x)$ is the Fourier conjugate variable to the string boundary configuration. Finally, by projecting $\Phi[A_\mu(x)]$ along the loop C we obtain the wanted result

$$\Psi[C] = \int [DA_\mu] W^{-1}[A_\mu, C] \Phi[A_\mu] \quad (16)$$

The whole procedure can be summarized as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_A\{\text{string functional}\} \longrightarrow \text{dual string functional} \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_C^{-1}\{\text{dual string functional}\} \longrightarrow \text{loop functional} \quad (18)$$

Let us proceed by unraveling the information contained in the string functional $\Psi[\gamma]$. First of all, we must choose the classical string action and pushing forward the functional integration. There are several action functionals providing equivalent classical descriptions of string dynamics: the most appropriate for our purpose is the “*covariant*” *Schild action*:

$$\begin{aligned} S[Y^\mu, P^m_\mu, g_{mn}; \sigma] &= \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \partial_n Y^\nu P^n_\nu - \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{ab} P_{a\mu} P_b^\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_Y dY^\mu \wedge dY^\nu P_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{ab} P_{a\mu} P_b^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where, $\mu_0 = 1/2\pi\alpha'$ is the string tension, and $P_{\mu\nu}$ is the area momentum [7] conjugated to world-sheet tangent bi-vector ¹:

¹We indicate with $\delta^{[mn]}$ the antisymmetric symbol while $\epsilon^{mn} \equiv \delta^{[mn]}/\sqrt{g}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor.

$$P_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial Y^{\mu\nu}} , \quad Y^{\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon^{mn} \partial_{[m} Y^\mu \partial_{n]} Y^\nu . \quad (20)$$

Variation of the action functional with respect the field variables provides the “classical equation of motion”²

$$\epsilon^{mn} \partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu} = 0 , \quad (21)$$

$$P_{m\mu} = P_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_m{}^n \partial_n Y^\nu , \quad (22)$$

$$-P_m{}^\mu P_{n\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} g^{ab} P_a{}^\mu P_{b\mu} = 0 . \quad (23)$$

Equation (23) requires the vanishing of the string energy–momentum tensor T_{mn} . Eq.(22) allows us to solve eq.(23) with respect to the string metric:

$$g_{mn} = \partial_m Y^\mu \partial_n Y_\mu \equiv \gamma_{mn}(Y) \quad (24)$$

Eq.(22) and (24) show that the on–shell canonical momentum is proportional to the gradient of the string coordinate and the on–shell string metric matches the world–sheet induced metric. By inserting these classical solutions into (19) one recovers the Nambu–Goto action:

$$S[Y^\mu(\sigma) , P_{\mu\nu} , \gamma_{mn}(Y) ; \sigma] = -\mu_0 \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{|\det [\gamma_{mn}(Y)]|} . \quad (25)$$

With some hindsight, this result follows from having introduced a non–trivial metric $g_{mn}(\sigma)$ in the string manifold. Thus, the Schild action becomes diffeomorphism invariant as the Nambu–Goto action. Accordingly, we recovered the classical equivalence showed in (25). To carry on the path integration it is instrumental to extract a pure boundary term from the first integral in (19)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_Y dY^\mu \wedge dY^\nu P_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_Y d(Y^\mu dY^\nu P_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_Y Y^\mu dP_{\mu\nu} \wedge dY^\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \oint_\gamma \bar{y}^\mu d\bar{y}^\nu P_{\mu\nu}(\bar{y}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma Y^\mu(\sigma) \epsilon^{mn} \partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

²Note that the first term in (19) is independent from the string metric g_{mn} . Only the second term contributes to the string energy momentum tensor.

Then, we recognize that $Y^\mu(\sigma)$ appears in the path integral only in the last term of (26) through a linear coupling to the left hand side of the classical equation of motion (21). Accordingly, to integrate over the string coordinate is tantamount to integrate over a *Lagrange multiplier* enforcing the canonical momentum to satisfy the classical equation of motion (21):

$$\int [DY^\mu(\sigma)] \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma Y^\mu(\sigma) \epsilon^{mn} \partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu} \right] = \delta \left[\partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu} \right] \quad (27)$$

Once the string coordinates have been integrated out, the resulting path integral reads

$$\Psi[\gamma] = \int [Dg_{mn}][DP_{m\mu}] \delta \left[\partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu} \right] \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \oint_\gamma \bar{y}^\mu d\bar{y}^\nu P_{\mu\nu}(\bar{y}) - \frac{i}{2\mu_0} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{mn} P_{m\mu} P_n^\mu \right) . \quad (28)$$

Eq.(28) shows that we have sum only over classical momentum trajectories. Such a restricted integration measure span the subset of momentum trajectories of the form

$$P_{\mu\nu} = \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{\mu_0} Q_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) \quad (29)$$

$$P_{m\mu}(\sigma) = \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_m{}^n \partial_n Y^\nu(\sigma) + \sqrt{\mu_0} \partial_m \eta_\mu(\sigma) , \quad \epsilon_{mn} Q_{\mu\nu} \partial^n Y^\nu = \partial_m \eta_\mu \quad (30)$$

where, $\eta_\mu(\sigma)$ is a D -components multiplet of world-sheet scalar fields, and $\bar{P}_{\mu\nu}$ is a constant background over the string manifold, i.e. $P_{\mu\nu}$ is the *area momentum zero mode* :

$$\partial_m \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} = 0 . \quad (31)$$

By averaging the η -field over the string world-sheet, one can extract its zero frequency component $\bar{\eta}^\mu$:

$$\eta^\mu(\sigma) = \bar{\eta}^\mu + \tilde{\eta}^\mu(\sigma) , \quad \bar{\eta}^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g}} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \eta^\mu(\sigma) \quad (32)$$

$\tilde{\eta}^\mu(\sigma)$ describes the *bulk quantum fluctuations*, as measured with respect to the reference value $\bar{\eta}^\mu$. Confining ³ $\tilde{\eta}^\mu(\sigma)$ to the bulk of the string world-sheet requires appropriate

³ By “*confinement*” we mean that there is no leakage of the field current $J_m \equiv \tilde{\eta}^\mu \partial_m \tilde{\eta}_\mu$ off the world sheet boundary, i.e.

$$\oint_\gamma dn^a J_a = 0 .$$

boundary conditions. Accordingly, we assume that both $\tilde{\eta}^\mu(\sigma)$ and its (normal and tangential) derivatives vanish when restricted on the boundary γ :

$$\tilde{\eta}^\mu|_\gamma = 0 , \quad (33)$$

$$t^m \partial_m \tilde{\eta}^\mu|_\gamma = 0 , \quad (34)$$

$$n^m \partial_m \tilde{\eta}^\mu|_\gamma = 0 . \quad (35)$$

Now, we can give a definite meaning to the integration measure over the classical solutions:

$$\int [DP_{m\mu}] \delta [\partial_{[m} P_{n]\mu}] = \int d^D \bar{\eta} \int [d\bar{P}_{\mu\nu}] \int [\mathcal{D}\tilde{\eta}_\mu(\sigma)] , \quad (36)$$

We remark that the first two integrations are “over numbers” and not over functions. We have to sum over all possible constant values of $\bar{P}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\eta}^\mu$. The constant mode of the bulk momentum does not mix with the other modes in the on-shell Hamiltonian because the cross term vanish identically

$$\bar{P}_{[\mu\nu]} g^{(mn)} \partial_{(m} Y^{[\nu} \partial_{n]} \eta^\mu] \equiv 0 , \quad \delta^{[mn]} \partial_{[m} Y^\mu \partial_{n]} \eta_\mu \equiv 0 . \quad (37)$$

Accordingly, boundary dynamics decouples from the bulk dynamics⁴ :

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_\gamma \bar{y}^\mu d\bar{y}^\nu P_{\mu\nu}(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{4} \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \oint_\gamma d\sigma^m \bar{y}^{[\mu} \partial_m \bar{y}^{\nu]} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma) \quad (38)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{mn} P_{m\mu} P_n^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0} \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \bar{P}^{\mu\nu} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \eta_\mu \Delta_g \eta^\mu , \quad (39)$$

where, Δ_g is the covariant, world sheet, D'Alembertian. We introduced in eq.(38) and (39) two important “areas”. First, the loop area tensor, or Plücker coordinate,

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_\gamma (\bar{y}^\mu d\bar{y}^\nu - \bar{y}^\nu d\bar{y}^\mu) , \quad (40)$$

⁴ A boundary term

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu_0}} \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \oint_\gamma dt^m \eta^{[\mu} \partial_m Y^{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2} \oint_\gamma dn^m \eta^\mu \partial_m \eta_\mu$$

vanishes because of the boundary conditions (33),(34), (35).

appears as the canonical partner of the zero mode bulk area momentum $\overline{P}_{\mu\nu}$. Each component of the $\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\overline{y})$ represents the area of the “loop shadow” over the $(\mu - \nu)$ coordinate plane. These shadows are the two dimensional pictures of the string boundary, and provide an “*holographic coordinate system*”. The images allows to reconstruct the shape of the loop in a similar way an hologram encodes on a plate the whole information about a three dimensional structure.

Second, the *proper area* of the string world-sheet

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \equiv A \quad (41)$$

provides an intrinsic evolution parameter for the system. However, a quantum world sheet has not a definite proper area, the metric in (41) being a quantum operator itself. Thus, the l.h.s. of the definition (41) has to be replaced by the corresponding quantum expectation value. Then, we can split the sum over the string metrics into a sum over metrics h_{mn} , with fixed quantum expectation value of the proper area, times an ordinary integral over all the values of the area quantum average:

$$\int [Dg_{mn}(\sigma)] (\dots) = \int_0^\infty dA \exp(i\lambda A) \int [Dh_{mn}(\sigma)] \exp\left(-i\lambda \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h}\right) (\dots) . \quad (42)$$

The λ parameter enters the path integral as a constant external source enforcing the condition that the quantum average of the proper area operator is A . From a physical point of view it represents the world sheet cosmological constant, or vacuum energy density.

Hence, we can write the string functional as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[\gamma] &= \int d^D\overline{\eta} \int_0^\infty dA \exp(i\lambda A) \int [d\overline{P}_{m\mu}] \int [Dh_{mn}(\sigma)] [\mathcal{D}\tilde{\eta}^\mu(\sigma)] \times \\ &\exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\overline{P}_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma) - \frac{i}{4\mu_0}\overline{P}_{\mu\nu}\overline{P}^{\mu\nu}A\right) \times \\ &\exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h}\tilde{\eta}_\mu\Delta_g\tilde{\eta}^\mu - i\lambda\int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \sqrt{h}\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The world sheet scalar field theory contains geometry dependent, ultraviolet divergent quantities. This two dimensional quantum field theory on a Riemannian manifold can be renormalized by introducing suitable bulk and boundary “counter terms”. These new terms

absorb the ultraviolet divergencies, and represent induced weight factors in the functional integration over the metric, Dh_{mn} ⁵, and the boundary shape $D\bar{y}$.

The basic result we get from the above calculation is to “factorize” boundary and bulk quantum dynamics as follows:

$$\Psi[\gamma] \equiv \Psi[\bar{y}^\mu(s), \sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma)] = \int d^D\bar{\eta} \left[\exp\left(iS^{eff}[\gamma]\right) \right] \int_0^\infty dA \exp(i\lambda A) \Psi[\sigma(\gamma); A] Z_{BULK}^A. \quad (44)$$

The bulk quantum physics is encoded into the Polyakov partition function [3]

$$Z_{BULK}^A = \int [Dh_{mn}(\sigma)] [D\tilde{\eta}_\mu(\sigma)] \exp \left[-\frac{i}{2} \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} \tilde{\eta}_\mu \Delta_h \tilde{\eta}^\mu - i \int_\Sigma d^2\sigma \sqrt{h} (\kappa R + \lambda) \right] \quad (45)$$

for a scalar field theory covariantly coupled to $2D$ gravity on a disk⁶.

$$\Psi[\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma); A] \equiv \int [d\bar{P}_{\mu\nu}] \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma) - i \left(\frac{\bar{P}_{\mu\nu} \bar{P}^{\mu\nu}}{4\mu_0} \right) A \right], \quad (46)$$

is the Eguchi wave functional encoding the holographic quantum mechanics of the string boundary. Finally, $S^{eff}[\gamma]$ is the effective action induced by the quantum fluctuation of the string world sheet. It is a local quantity written in terms of the “counterterms” needed to cancel the boundary ultraviolet divergent terms. The required counterterms are proportional to the loop proper length and extrinsic curvature.

The most part of current investigations in quantum string theory start from the Polyakov path integral and elaborate string theory as scalar field theory defined over a Riemann

⁵This functional integration measure has to be factored out by the orbit of the diffeomorphism group, and in the critical dimension by the Weyl group, as well.

⁶ Our result refers to the disk topology. The extension to more complex world sheet topology is straightforward: the single, bulk, partition functional has to be replaced by a sum over definite genus path integrals, i.e. $Z_{BULK}^A \longrightarrow \sum_g Z_{BULK}^{(g),A}$. This is the starting point for introducing topology changing quantum processes in the framework of string theory.

surface. String perturbation theory come from this term as an *expansion in the genus* of the Riemann surface. Against this background, we assumed *the phase space, covariant, path integral for the Schild string as the basic quantity* encoding the whole information about string quantum behavior, and we recovered the Polyakov “partition functional” as an *effective path integral*, after integrating out the string coordinates and factorizing out the boundary dynamics. It is worth to recall that the Conformal Anomaly and the *critical dimension* are encoded into the Polyakov path integral. Accordingly, they are bulk effects. But, this is not the end of the story. Our approach provides the boundary quantum dynamics as well. The fluctuations of the $\bar{\eta}^\mu$ field *induces* the non-local part of the effective action for $\bar{y}(s)$, while the world sheet vibrations induce the local, geometry dependent, terms. Furthermore, the Eguchi string wave functional $\Psi[\sigma; A]$ encodes the quantum holographic dynamics of the boundary in terms of area coordinates. Ψ gives the amplitude to find a closed string, with area tensor $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, as the only boundary of a world-sheet of proper area A , as it was originally introduced in the areal formulation of “string quantum mechanics”. This overlooked approach implicitly broke the accepted “dogma” that string theory is intrinsically a “second quantized field theory”, which cannot be given a first quantized, or quantum mechanical, formulation. This claim is correct as far as it is referred to the infinite vibration modes of the world sheet bulk. On the other hand, boundary vibrations are induced by the one dimensional field living on it and by the constant zero mode of the area momentum $\bar{P}_{\mu\nu}$. From this viewpoint, the Eguchi approach appears as a sort of Mini Superspace approximation of the full string dynamics in momentum space: all the infinite bulk modes, except the constant one, has been frozen out. The “field theory” of this single mode collapses into a generalized “quantum mechanics” where both spatial and timelike coordinates are replaced by area tensor and scalar respectively:

$$\text{second quantized bulk dynamics} \longrightarrow \text{first quantized boundary dynamics} . \quad (47)$$

In the absence of external interactions, the quantum state of the “free world sheet boundary” is represented by a Gaussian wave functional

$$\Psi[\sigma ; A] \propto \left(\frac{\mu_0}{A}\right)^{D(D-1)/4} \exp\left(-i\mu_0 \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\bar{y})\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\bar{y})}{4A}\right) \quad (48)$$

solving the corresponding “Schrödinger equation” [8]:

$$-\frac{1}{4\mu_0 l_\gamma} \int ds \sqrt{\bar{y}'^2(s)} \frac{\delta^2 \Psi[\sigma^{\mu\nu} ; A]}{\delta \sigma^{\mu\nu}(s) \sigma_{\mu\nu}(s)} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \Psi[\sigma^{\mu\nu} ; A] \quad (49)$$

where, l_γ is the proper length

$$l_\gamma \equiv \int ds \sqrt{\bar{y}'^2(s)} , \quad (50)$$

Equation (49) encodes the boundary quantum behavior irrespectively of the bulk dynamics: the only memory of the world-sheet is only through its proper area A , no other information, e.g. about topology of the string world sheet, is transferred to the boundary. Our formulation enlightens the complementary role played by the bulk and boundary formulation of strings quantum dynamics and the subtle interplay between the two. The wave equation (49) displays one of the most intriguing aspects of Eguchi formulation: the role of spatial coordinates is played by the area tensor while the area A is the evolution parameter. Such an unusual dynamics is now explained as an induced effect due to the bulk zero mode $\bar{P}^{\mu\nu}$.

Finally, the loop transform connects the string boundary $\bar{y}$ with the given loop C . The functional $\Psi[C]$ is obtained by replacing everywhere $\bar{y}$ with C in Eq.(44).

The main result of this matching is:

to “dress” a bare quantum loop with the all degrees of freedom carried by a quantum string.

We conclude this paper by speculating about a “fully covariant” formulation of the boundary wave equation (49) and its physical consequences.

The spacelike and timelike character of the two area coordinates $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and A shows up in the Schrödinger, “non-relativistic” form, of the wave equation (49) which is second order in $\delta/\delta\sigma^{\mu\nu}(s)$, and first order in $\partial/\partial A$. Therefore, it is intriguing to ponder about the form and the meaning of the corresponding *Klein-Gordon* equation. The first step towards the

“relativistic” form of (49) is to introduce an appropriate coordinate system where $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and A can play a physically equivalent role. Let us introduce a *matrix coordinate* $\mathbf{X}^{MN}$ where certain components are $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and A . There is a great freedom in the choice of $\mathbf{X}^{MN}$. However, an interesting possibility would be

$$\mathbf{X}^{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_0} \sigma^{\mu\nu} & \delta^{\mu\nu} x_\nu^{C.M.} \\ \delta^{\mu\nu} x_\nu^{C.M.} & \sqrt{\mu_0} A_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0} & A \\ -A & \vec{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

where, we arranged the string center of mass coordinate $x_\nu^{C.M.}$ inside off-diagonal sub-matrices and build-up an antisymmetric proper area tensor in order to endow A with the same tensorial character as $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$. The *string length scale*, $1/\sqrt{\mu_0}$, has been introduced to provide the block diagonal area sub-matrices the coordinate canonical dimension of a *length*, in natural units. The most remarkable feature for this choice of $\mathbf{X}^{MN}$ is that if we let μ, ν to range over four values, then $\mathbf{X}^{MN}$ is an 8×8 anti symmetric matrix with *eleven* independent entries. Inspired by the recent progress in non-commutative geometry [9], where point coordinates are described by non commuting matrices, we associate to each matrix (51) a representative point in an eleven dimensional space which is the product of the 4-dimensional spacetime, times, the 6-dimensional holographic loop space, times, the 1-dimensional areal time axis. Eleven dimensional spacetime is the proper arena of M -Theory [10], and we do not believe this is a mere coincidence.

According with the assignment of the eleven entries in $\mathbf{X}^{MN}$ the corresponding “point” can represent different physical objects:

- i) a point-like particle, $\{\sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0, A = 0, x_\nu^{C.M.}\}$;
- ii) a loop with center of mass in $x_\nu^{C.M.}$ and holographic coordinates $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, $\{\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma), A = 0, x_\nu^{C.M.}\}$;
- iii) an open surface of proper area A , boundary holographic coordinates $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and center of mass in $x_\nu^{C.M.}$, i.e. a *real string*, $\{\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\gamma), A, x_\nu^{C.M.}\}$;
- iv) a closed surface of proper area A , i.e. a virtual string, $\{\sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0, A, x_\nu^{C.M.}\}$.

It is appealing to conjecture that “Special Relativity” in this enlarged space will transform one of the above objects into another by a reference frame transformation! From this vantage viewpoint particles, loops, real and virtual strings would appear as the same basic object as viewed from different reference frames. Accordingly, a quantum field $\Phi(\mathbf{X})$ would create and destroy the objects listed above, or, a more basic object encompassing all of them. A *unified quantum field theory of points loops and strings*, and its relation, if any, with M -Theory or non-commutative geometry, is an issue which deserves a thoroughly, future, investigation [11].

I. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of us, C.C. would like to thank the italian M.U.R.S.T for financial support, and the Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Trieste for its hospitality during the completion of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.A.M.Dirac Phys. Rev. **74**, 817 (1948)
- [2] C.Rovelli “*Loop Quantum Gravity*” gr-qc/9709008
- [3] A.M. Polyakov Phys.Lett. **B 103**, 207 (1981)
- [4] T.Eguchi Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, n.3, 126 (1980)
- [5] A.Aurilia, E.Spallucci Phys.Lett. **B282**, 50 (1992)
- [6] R.Gambini,J. Pullin, A.Ashtekar “*Loops, knots, gauge theories and quantum gravity*”,
Cambridge Univ. Press 1996
- [7] A.Aurilia, A.Smailagic, E.Spallucci Phys. Rev. **D47**, n.6, 2536 (1993)
- [8] A.Aurilia,S.Ansoldi, E.Spallucci Phys. Rev. **D56**, n.4, 2352 (1997)
A.Aurilia,S.Ansoldi, E.Spallucci) Chaos Sol. & Fract. **10**, n.1, 1 (1998)
- [9] G.Landi “*An Introduction to Non commutative Spaces and their Geometry*” hep-
th/9701078
- [10] R.Argurio “*Brane Physics in M-Theory*” hep-th/9807171
- [11] C.Castro “*The search for the Origin of M-Theory: Loop Quantum Mechanics,
Loop/Strings and Bulk/Boundary Duality*” hep-th/9809102